

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 1150108 DATE: 11/19/2010 ARRIVE: ~9:35 am DEPART: ~11:15 am				
FACILITY NAME: VENICE JACKSON RD READY MIX PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 123 S JACKSON RD				
VENICE 34292-4101				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HANK BELCHER Email: hbelcher@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: JOHN RAUSCHENBACH Email: jrauschenbach@preferredmaterials.com Email: jrauschenbach@preferredmaterials.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/13/2010 / 9/13/2015 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (813)384-3025 Mobile: (352)279-0404 PHONE: (239)992-1400 Mobile: (239)913-8514				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Bill Chindamo  Priof Notes: Facility appretes a fact times/month due to company	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes: Facility operates a few times/ month due to economy.  2. Is the Authorized Representative still HANK BELCHER?	⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still JOHN RAUSCHENBACH?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	<ul> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>☐No</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>☐No</li> </ul>			

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-splitsilo(comp#1cement;#2flyash)w/cent.dustcollect subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/30/2009 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check  box for each  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question)  No No No No No	
	<ul> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 26 tons/hour</li> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No	
	<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.</li> <li>e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? Yes No</li> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? 26 tons/hour</li> </ul>			
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to		⊠ No	
	<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>	te and	⊠ No	
	duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	ites	☐ No	
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.			
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut	Yes Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? 26 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No	

# Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> only one
	box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection:	4
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	□ <b>v</b> □ <b>v</b> .
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
	⊠ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after	the test? Yes No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the repo	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	∐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	1es1vo
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the l	last VE test? Yes No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
DADT II. CTACV EMICCIONC from a cile maigh homograph or other	
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check <b>☑</b> only one
cheiosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site v	visit? Yes No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loadin	a of the sile conducted at a rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No NA	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate?tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) -$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the norr duration?	
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration	n? minutes
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (ba	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site	
<ul><li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li><li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute</li></ul>	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

_			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop. 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr + 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr nption	0? ⊠ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_ Ves	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	_	
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	s 	
	permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check <b>☑</b> only one			
	box for each question)			
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>	tationary and relocatable			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and				
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes No			
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)				
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L	ocal Air Program by telephone,			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p				
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica				
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business				
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat				
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five l	business days prior to relocation? Yes No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai	ir construction or air operation permit			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit is				
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp				
If YES, what was the purpose?	(iie, more is no repenses usage).   Tes			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v	was			
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No			
CHANGES	(check <b>☑</b> only one			
	box for each question)			
Administrative Changes:	•			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation				
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin				
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of	of the change? \(\) Yes \(\) No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa				
d. A change in ownership?	X Yes No			
an in change in a material to				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted				
30 days prior to the change?	Yes No			
Michael Storino	11/19/2010			
Wichael Storino	11/19/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	11/30/2011			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: INS3 Michael Storing inspected the facility: he obser	rved/ & conducted visible emissions compliance testing of			
<b>COMMENTS:</b> INS3. Michael Storino inspected the facility; he observed/ & conducted visible emissions compliance testing of EU-001. ~0% opacity throughout loading ~26 tons cement. Facility does ~2 - 3 trucks per month. Small engine: Hyundai HL 760-				
7 purchased ~2004.				

Small facility; part time staff in office. DO not have fuel records for 5 years.